The Phonetics of English Pronunciation Session 09

Ingmar Steiner¹
Institute of Phonetics
Saarland University

19.01.2009



¹using material by William Barry

Exercise 1

a. negotiation negotiator

Exercise 1

a. negotiation negotiator [nəˌɡəʊʃiˈeʃən] [nəˈɡəʊʃiejtə]

Exercise 1

a. negotiation negotiator [nəˌgəʊʃiˈeʃən] [nəˈgəʊʃieʃtə]

b. fantasy fantastic

Exercise 1

a. negotiation negotiator
[nəˌgəʊʃiˈeʃən] [nəˈgəʊʃiejtə]
b. fantasy fantastic
[ˈfæntəsi] [fənˈtæstɪk]

Exercise 1

a. negotiation negotiator
[nə,gəʊʃi'eʃən] [nə'gəʊʃieɹtə]
b. fantasy fantastic
['fæntəsi] [fən'tæstɪk]
c. medicine medicinal

Exercise 1

a. negotiation negotiator
[nə,gəʊʃi'eʃən] [nə'gəʊʃieɹtə]
b. fantasy fantastic
['fæntəsi] [fən'tæstɪk]
c. medicine medicinal
['medsɪn] [mə'dɪsɪnət]

Exercise 1

negotiation negotiator a. [nəˌgəʊʃiˈeɹʃən] [nəˈgəʊʃie̞ɪtə] fantasy fantastic b. [ˈfæntəsi] [fən'tæstık] medicine medicinal ['medsin] [mə'dısınəł] Arabic Arabian

```
negotiation
                       negotiator
a.
    [nəˌgəʊ̞ʃiˈeɹʃən]
                       [nəˈgəʊʃieitə]
    fantasy
                       fantastic
b.
    [ˈfæntəsi]
                       [fən'tæstık]
    medicine
                       medicinal
C.
    ['medsin]
                       [mə'dısınəł]
    Arabic
                       Arabian
    [ˈaɪdeɪæˈ]
                       [əˈɹeibiən]
```

Exercise 1

negotiation negotiator a. [nəˌgəʊ̞ʃiˈeɹʃən] [nəˈgəʊʃieitə] fantasy fantastic b. [ˈfæntəsi] [fən'tæstık] medicine medicinal ['medsin] [mə'dısınəł] Arabic Arabian [ˈaɪdeɪæˈ] [əˈɹeibiən] horror horrific e.

```
negotiation
                        negotiator
a.
     [nəˌgəʊ̞ʃiˈeɹʃən]
                        [nəˈgəʊʃie̞ɪtə]
    fantasy
                        fantastic
b.
     [ˈfæntəsi]
                        [fən'tæstık]
    medicine
                        medicinal
                        [mə'dısınəł]
     ['medsin]
    Arabic
                       Arabian
     [ˈkɪdekæˈ]
                        [əˈɹeibiən]
     horror
                        horrific
e.
     [ekah']
                        [həˈɹɪfɪk]
```

Exercise 2

Wherever mountains reach the sky above,
on icy, starry nights in Summertime,
the frigid air appears to flow away.

```
Wherever mountains reach the sky above, wey'seve 'mauntanz 'si:tf ðə 'skaı <sup>j</sup> ə'bav on icy, starry nights in Summertime, the frigid air appears to flow away.
```

```
Wherever mountains reach the sky above, wey'seve 'mayntenz 'si:tf ðe 'skar 'j e'bav on icy, starry nights in Summertime, en 'naisi 'sta:si 'naits sm 'same, tam the frigid air appears to flow away.
```

```
Wherever mountains reach the sky above, weə'xevə 'mayntənz 'xixff ðə 'skaı <sup>j</sup> ə'bʌv on icy, starry nights in Summertime, ən 'naisi 'staxıi 'naits sın 'sʌməˌtaɪm the frigid air appears to flow away. ðə 'friðid 'dɛə <sup>x</sup> ə'piəz tə 'fləʊ <sup>w</sup> ə'weı
```

• Transcription practice: "Linking" etc.

- Transcription practice: "Linking" etc.
- "Cross-word effects"

- Transcription practice: "Linking" etc.
- "Cross-word effects"
 - Boundary conditions: Elision and Assimilation Read: Section VI.4, pp. 223-227

- Transcription practice: "Linking" etc.
- "Cross-word effects"
 - Boundary conditions: Elision and Assimilation Read: Section VI.4, pp. 223-227
 - Stress patterns in compounds Read: Section VI.2, pp. 188-212

• Focus: Linking and Weak forms

- Focus: Linking and Weak forms
- So segmental problems are not highlighted

- Focus: Linking and Weak forms
- So segmental problems are not highlighted
 - R-sounds are symbolized with [r] not $[\iota]$

- Focus: Linking and Weak forms
- So segmental problems are not highlighted
 - R-sounds are symbolized with [r] not [a]
 - L-sounds are symbolized with [I] whether they are clear or dark.

- Focus: Linking and Weak forms
- So segmental problems are not highlighted
 - R-sounds are symbolized with [r] not [a]
 - L-sounds are symbolized with [I] whether they are clear or dark.
 - Final-voiced consonants are not highlighted.

- Focus: Linking and Weak forms
- So segmental problems are not highlighted
 - R-sounds are symbolized with [r] not [a]
 - L-sounds are symbolized with [I] whether they are clear or dark.
 - Final-voiced consonants are not highlighted.
- This doesn't mean they are any less important! Please don't forget them.

Transcription

They expected him to arrive at the reception after all deright's pektid in to well-raive at the reception after all the other aunts and uncles had offered their deright and variety of the other aunts and uncles had offered their deright and thein

Assimilation

• Assimilation means "changing to become more similar"

Assimilation

- Assimilation means "changing to become more similar"
- We have already seen that sounds can change under the influence of the next word onset:
 - $/t/ \rightarrow [\underline{t}]$ before a dental fricative:

Assimilation

- Assimilation means "changing to become more similar"
- We have already seen that sounds can change under the influence of the next word onset:
 - $/t/ \rightarrow [t]$ before a dental fricative:
- In fact alveolar word-final plosives /t d/ and the nasal /n/ very often change to become more similar to the initial consonant of the following word (not only before /ð θ/:

```
compare German:

just in case on guard in großen Firmen

[ʤʌstɹŋˌkeɪs] [ɒŋˌˈɡɑːd] [ɪŋˌgroːsən fiɛmən]

let me go red button es steht mir gut

[ˈlep-mi ˈɡəʊ] [ˈreb- ˈbʌtʌn] [ɛʃʃteːp-mie gut]
```

 The preceding examples are examples of left-to-right (or anticipatory) assimilation.

- The preceding examples are examples of left-to-right (or anticipatory) assimilation.
- We also saw in an earlier lecture that sounds can change under the influence of the previous word coda (right-to-left assimilation):

- The preceding examples are examples of left-to-right (or anticipatory) assimilation.
- We also saw in an earlier lecture that sounds can change under the influence of the previous word coda (right-to-left assimilation):
- In the (because it's weak):

$$/\eth/\to [z] \text{ after }/z/ \begin{array}{c} \textit{lose the way} \\ [\text{'lu:} \underline{z} \text{-'wei}] \end{array}$$

- The preceding examples are examples of left-to-right (or anticipatory) assimilation.
- We also saw in an earlier lecture that sounds can change under the influence of the previous word coda (right-to-left assimilation):
- In the (because it's weak):

$$/\eth/\to [z] \text{ after }/z/ \begin{array}{c} \textit{lose the way} \\ [\text{'lu:} \underline{z} \text{-'wei}] \end{array}$$

 Like in German, weak /ən/ endings lose the schwa and assimilate to the preceding consonant:

```
happen taken heaven ['hæpm̩] ['teɪkn̞] ['hevm̞]
```

Elision

• Elision is leaving something out.

Elision

- Elision is leaving something out.
- In casual speech a great deal gets left out (in German, English and many other languages!) E.g.
 /'hast du ?ainən mo'mɛnt 'tsait/ → ['hasnmo'mɛn²'tsait]

Elision

- Elision is leaving something out.
- In casual speech a great deal gets left out (in German, English and many other languages!) E.g.
 /'hast du ?ainən mo'mɛnt 'tsait/ → ['hasnmo'mɛn²'tsait]
- Too casual speech shouldn't be practised (it will come naturally if you speak English a lot)

Elision

- Elision is leaving something out.
- In casual speech a great deal gets left out (in German, English and many other languages!) E.g.
 /'hast du ?ainən mo'mɛnt 'tsait/ → ['hasnmo'mɛn²'tsait]
- Too casual speech shouldn't be practised (it will come naturally if you speak English a lot)
- But consonant cluster simplification in certain cases is normal, not over-casual, and avoids sounding too precise:

```
fric. + /t/ # cons. \rightarrow fric. # cons.

she left Sunday the last post

precise [\inti 'left 'sʌndi] [ðə 'lɑːst 'pəʊst]

normal [\inti 'lef 'sʌndi] [ðə 'lɑːs 'pəʊst]
```

• Within words there is a notorious "elision site" which rarely gets taught and which betrays German learners:

- Within words there is a notorious "elision site" which rarely gets taught and which betrays German learners:
- Words ending in \(\lambda\tion\rangle\) that are derived from words ending with **plosive** + \(/\tau\t/\) lose the [t] and have just \(/\frac{\infty}{\tau}\). (In phonology it is said that the \(/\tau/\) has been "palatalised".)

- Within words there is a notorious "elision site" which rarely gets taught and which betrays German learners:
- Words ending in \(\)tion\\ that are derived from words ending with plosive + \(/ t / t / t) \) lose the [t] and have just \(/ \) (In phonology it is said that the \(/ t / t / t) \) been "palatalised".)

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textit{except} & [\textit{ek'sept}] \rightarrow [\textit{ek'sepf} \ni n] & \textit{not} \ [\textit{ek'septf} \ni n] \\ \textit{interrupt} & [\textit{int} \ni \texttt{rapt}] \rightarrow [\textit{int} \ni \texttt{rapf} \ni n] & \textit{not} \ [\textit{int} \ni \texttt{raptf} \ni n] \\ \textit{correct} & [\textit{k} \ni \texttt{rekt}] \rightarrow [\textit{k} \ni \texttt{rekf} \ni n] & \textit{not} \ [\textit{k} \ni \texttt{rektf} \ni n] \\ \end{array}
```

- Within words there is a notorious "elision site" which rarely gets taught and which betrays German learners:
- Words ending in \(\)tion\\ that are derived from words ending with **plosive** + \(/t/\) lose the [t] and have just \(/\)\(/\). (In phonology it is said that the \(/t/\) has been "palatalised".)

```
except[ek'sept]\rightarrow [ek'sepʃən]not [ek'septʃən]interrupt[intə'rʌpt]\rightarrow [intə'rʌpfən]not [intə'rʌptʃən]correct[kə'rekt]\rightarrow [kə'rekʃən]not [kə'rektʃən]
```

But it is only with \(\lambda\tion\rangle\) that the palatalisation lead to the elision of the [t] element.

- Within words there is a notorious "elision site" which rarely gets taught and which betrays German learners:
- Words ending in ⟨tion⟩ that are derived from words ending with plosive + /t/ lose the [t] and have just /ʃ/. (In phonology it is said that the /t/ has been "palatalised".)
 except [ek'sept] → [ek'sepʃən] not [ek'septʃən] interrupt [intə'rʌpt] → [intə'rʌpʃən] not [intə'rʌptʃən]
- correct [kəˈrekt] → [kəˈrekʃən] not [kəˈrekʧən]
 But it is only with ⟨tion⟩ that the palatalisation lead to the elision of the [t] element.

```
In capture rupture lecture structure /t/ remains! ['k \times p.t]_{\overline{0}} ['r \wedge p.t]_{\overline{0}} ['lek.t]_{\overline{0}} ['str \wedge k.t]_{\overline{0}}
```

A common German mistake (cont'd)

 But, of course, \(\lambda\tion\rangle\) doesn't always lose the [t] (there are always exceptions!)

A common German mistake (cont'd)

- But, of course, \(\lambda\tion\rangle\) doesn't always lose the [t] (there are always exceptions!)
- The words where a [tf] is pronounced are derived from a few verbs ending in /st/:
 ingest, digest [...'dgest] → [...'dges.tfən]
 And, of course: question ['kwes.tfən]

Word-stress patterns

 This is a tricky area... sometimes deceptively easy... sometimes frustratingly confusing

Word-stress patterns

 This is a tricky area... sometimes deceptively easy... sometimes frustratingly confusing

Firstly Stress mistakes are very noticeable (because stress functions as a signal for the important parts of an utterance)

Word-stress patterns

- This is a tricky area... sometimes deceptively easy... sometimes frustratingly confusing
- Firstly Stress mistakes are very noticeable (because stress functions as a signal for the important parts of an utterance)
- Secondly there are related words in German and English which can differ in their word-stress placement.

 Many of these are regular suffix differences and are easily learned:

learned:

	English	German
$\langle tion angle$	unstressed	stressed
$\langle ual angle / \langle uell angle$	unstressed	stressed

 This is a particularly dangerous area for German learners of English. Why?

- This is a particularly dangerous area for German learners of English. Why?
- In English, compounds are not always written as one word like they are in German:
 - Mädchenhandelsschule vs. Girls' Business School

- This is a particularly dangerous area for German learners of English. Why?
- In English, compounds are not always written as one word like they are in German:
 - Mädchenhandelsschule vs. Girls' Business School
- And semantically equivalent compounds sometimes have the same stress pattern as German, sometimes not: 'Haupt,straße vs. 'High ,Street (strong-weak) but: 'Schatz,insel vs. ,Treasure 'Island (weak-strong)

- This is a particularly dangerous area for German learners of English. Why?
- In English, compounds are not always written as one word like they are in German:
 - Mädchenhandelsschule vs. Girls' Business School
- And semantically equivalent compounds sometimes have the same stress pattern as German, sometimes not: 'Haupt,straße vs. 'High ,Street (strong-weak) but: 'Schatz,insel vs. ,Treasure 'Island (weak-strong)
- We also use the terms primary stress and secondary stress for "strong" and "weak".